

NEIL ABERCROMBIE
GOVERNOR



DAVID M. LOUIE
ATTORNEY GENERAL

STATE OF HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
425 QUEEN STREET
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813
(808) 586-1500

RUSSELL A. SUZUKI
FIRST DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

REPORT ON THE TOBACCO ENFORCEMENT SPECIAL FUND

Pursuant to Section 28-15(d), Hawaii Revised Statutes

Fiscal Year 2012 - 2013

Submitted to the Twenty-Seventh State Legislature
Regular Session of 2014

I.	INTRODUCTION	2
II.	TOBACCO MASTER SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	2
	A. Background	2
	B. Master Settlement Agreement Payments	2
	C. Tobacco Liability Act (Chapter 675, HRS)	4
	D. Enforcement	5
	E. Diligent Enforcement Arbitration	6
III.	CIGARETTE TAX AND TOBACCO TAX LAW (Chapter 245, HRS)	6
	A. Background	6
	B. Cigarette Tax Revenues	7
	C. Enforcement	8
	D. Gray Market	9
	E. Counterfeit Tax Stamps	9
	F. Half-Stamping	9
	G. Sales by Internet and Mail	10
IV.	FY 2012-2013 DEPOSITS TO TOBACCO ENFORCEMENT SPECIAL FUND	10
V.	FY 2012-2013 APPROPRIATIONS AND EXPENDITURES	10

I. INTRODUCTION

Section 28-15(d), Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), requires the Department of the Attorney General to submit a report to the Legislature, no later than twenty days prior to the convening of each regular session, providing an accounting of the receipts and expenditures of the Tobacco Enforcement Special Fund.

On July 1, 2000, the Department's Tobacco Enforcement Unit (the Unit) was created to enforce the Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement, the state Tobacco Liability Act, and the state Cigarette Tax and Tobacco Tax Law. The Unit is composed of a unit supervisor, a Master Settlement Agreement civil prosecutor, a cigarette tax prosecutor, seven criminal investigators, a legal assistant, and a legal clerk.

II. TOBACCO MASTER SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

A. Background

On November 23, 1998, leading United States tobacco manufacturers entered into the Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement with forty-six states, including Hawaii. In consideration for a release of past, present, and certain future claims against them, the Master Settlement Agreement obligates these manufacturers to pay substantial sums to the settling states (tied in part to the volume of tobacco product sales). The Attorney General of each settling state is responsible for enforcing the provisions of the Master Settlement Agreement.

B. Master Settlement Agreement Payments

There are three types of Master Settlement Agreement payments:¹

- (1) Initial Payments were received annually from January 10, 1999, through January 10, 2003.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount of Initial Payment</u>
1999-2000	27,804,177.13
2000-2001	11,659,558.77
2001-2002	12,701,627.03
2002-2003	<u>12,864,378.74</u>
Total	\$ 65,029,741.67

- (2) Annual Payments began on April 15, 2000, and are scheduled to be received on

¹ In reports to the Legislature before FY 2003-2004, Master Settlement Agreement payments were reported on a calendar year basis. Since FY 2003-2004, Master Settlement Agreement payments have been reported on a cash basis as received in each fiscal year.

Report on the Tobacco Enforcement Special Fund

Fiscal Year 2012-2013

Page 3

April 15 of each year in perpetuity.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount of Annual Payment</u>
1999-2000	20,811,042.90
2000-2001	24,471,822.21
2001-2002	32,674,220.28
2002-2003	31,845,690.90
2003-2004	37,793,157.48
2004-2005	38,357,998.54
2005-2006	35,212,822.31
2006-2007	36,857,166.01
2007-2008	37,299,996.79
2008-2009	41,132,845.88
2009-2010	34,230,792.73
2010-2011	32,453,603.85
2011-2012	33,096,749.95
2012-2013	<u>33,073,205.78</u>
Total	\$ 469,311,115.61

- (3) Strategic Contribution Payments began on April 15, 2008, and are scheduled to be received on April 15 of each year through 2017.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount of Strategic Contribution Payment</u>
2007-2008	18,762,802.27
2008-2009	19,225,534.21
2009-2010	16,691,299.06
2010-2011	15,211,574.73
2011-2012	15,492,587.49
2012-2013	<u>15,505,806.17</u>
Total	\$ 100,889,103.93

In fiscal year (FY) 2012-2013, the State received \$48,579,011.95 in Master Settlement Agreement moneys. The total amount of Master Settlement Agreement payments received by Hawaii as of the end of FY 2012-2013 are as follows:

Initial Payments	65,029,741.67
Annual Payments	469,311,115.61
Strategic Contribution Payments	<u>100,889,103.93</u>
Total	\$ 635,229,961.21

C. Tobacco Liability Act (Chapter 675, HRS)

The Master Settlement Agreement requires the State to diligently enforce the requirements of the “model statute,” which was enacted as the Tobacco Liability Act. The Master Settlement Agreement and the Tobacco Liability Act represent affirmative steps toward holding tobacco manufacturers accountable for the harm caused by the sale of cigarettes to citizens of Hawaii. Section 675-1(d), HRS, provides:

It is the policy of the State that financial burdens imposed on the State by cigarette smoking be borne by tobacco product manufacturers rather than by the State to the extent that such manufacturers either determine to enter into a settlement with the State or are found culpable by the courts.

Section 675-1(f), HRS, provides:

It would be contrary to the policy of the State if tobacco product manufacturers who determine not to enter into such a settlement could use a resulting cost advantage to derive large, short-term profits It is thus in the interest of the State to require that such manufacturers establish a reserve fund to guarantee a source of compensation and to prevent such manufacturers from deriving large, short-term profits and then becoming judgment-proof before liability may arise.

The Tobacco Liability Act requires any tobacco product manufacturer selling cigarettes to consumers in Hawaii – whether directly or through a distributor, retailer, or similar intermediary or intermediaries – to either participate in and perform its financial obligations under the Master Settlement Agreement, or place funds in an escrow account to establish a reserve fund to guarantee a source of compensation to the State if the tobacco product manufacturer is found culpable by the courts. A non-participating manufacturer (NPM) is a tobacco product manufacturer who has not entered into the Master Settlement Agreement.

NPMs who fail to comply with the escrow requirements enjoy a price advantage over those who comply. This price advantage lures consumers away from and decreases the market share of participating manufacturers. In a recent Master Settlement Agreement disbursement, the amounts due to the states were reduced because of a national increase in NPM market share.

In 2003, the Unit created a directory of compliant cigarettes and Roll-Your-Own (RYO) tobacco products. The directory has been posted on the Department’s website since October 31, 2003, and has been revised and updated through FY 2012-2013. At the end of FY 2012-2013, 24 compliant tobacco product manufacturers (18 participating manufacturers and 6 NPMs) were listed in the directory, along with a list of 124 authorized brands of cigarettes and RYO tobacco products.

D. Enforcement

Failure to diligently enforce the Tobacco Liability Act may result in a state losing a significant portion of its Master Settlement Agreement payments. “Diligent enforcement” in the context of the Master Settlement Agreement enforcement has not been clearly defined, but we believe that it includes identifying NPMs and the number of NPM cigarettes sold in Hawaii; notifying NPMs of their obligation to establish and fund an escrow in accordance with chapter 675; and filing complaints in court against NPMs who fail to comply with chapter 675. The Department has developed a system that:

- (1) Identifies NPMs and their products;
- (2) Gathers and tracks information on NPM products;
- (3) Notifies NPMs of their obligations under the Tobacco Liability Act and under chapter 486P, HRS, and related statutes (the Department sends letters to tobacco product manufacturers worldwide to advise them of these obligations);
- (4) Provides assistance to effectuate compliance; and
- (5) Provides the information-gathering and certification protocols necessary to establish and publish the directory of tobacco product manufacturers whose cigarettes and RYO tobacco products are authorized for sale in Hawaii.

The Tobacco Products Reporting statutes (chapter 486P, HRS) facilitate the time-consuming process of identifying individual manufacturers and their respective brands. When a tobacco product manufacturer is identified as having or intending to have sales in Hawaii, the Unit notifies the tobacco product manufacturer that it must comply with chapter 486P, including the requirement to register with the Department pursuant to section 486P-5, HRS.

The Unit mails a reporting form to wholesalers and distributors, and gathers information from the responses and invoices submitted by the wholesalers and distributors. Based on this information, the Unit has compiled a list of NPMs and their brands sold (directly or through distributors, retailers, or similar intermediaries) in Hawaii. The list is supplemented from time to time by lists of NPMs and brands provided by other states. In addition, the Unit identifies NPMs that sell cigarettes in Hawaii by investigating cigarette brands on store shelves.

The Unit sends formal demands to NPMs that have sales in Hawaii, requiring that they place appropriate sums in a qualified escrow fund in compliance with the Tobacco Liability Act. In FY 2012-2013, while six NPMs were listed in the directory, only three NPMs had sales in Hawaii. The total number of cigarettes or RYO totaled 119,003 of units sold. The three NPMs deposited the required aggregate total of \$3,463.39 into their respective qualified escrow funds – a compliance rate of 100 percent.

E. Diligent Enforcement Arbitration

A nationwide arbitration was begun in May 2012, to determine whether the states diligently enforced the provisions of their respective model statutes for calendar year 2003. On April 26, 2006, the State of Hawaii filed an action in the Circuit Court of the First Circuit seeking a declaration that the State of Hawaii indeed diligently enforced the provisions of Hawaii's model statute, which has been codified as chapter 675, HRS. On August 3, 2006, the court ordered the State of Hawaii to take part in what has been termed a nationwide arbitration to resolve the issues related to Hawaii's diligent enforcement of the model statute in calendar year 2003. Beginning in January 2011 through July 2011 extensive document discovery was undertaken by the participating manufacturers with regard to Hawaii's diligent enforcement efforts. On October 19, 2011, the participating manufacturers conducted an extensive deposition of a representative of the Tobacco Enforcement Unit. Subsequently, on November 3, 2011, the participating manufacturers published a list of States that the participating manufacturers wished to contest in the nationwide arbitration². The participating manufacturers did not include Hawaii in the contested arbitration. As a result, at some time following the conclusion of the nationwide arbitration approximately \$4,300,000 will be released to the State of Hawaii.

III. CIGARETTE TAX AND TOBACCO TAX LAW (Chapter 245, HRS)

A. Background

In 2000, the Legislature recognized the need for a mandatory cigarette tax stamp system "to assess, collect, and enforce the cigarette and tobacco tax." The Conference Committee on S.B. No. 2486, S.D. 2, H.D. 2, C.D. 1 (2000) (Act 249, Session Laws of Hawaii 2000), reported:

[E]nforcement of the current system of collecting cigarette and tobacco taxes is sporadic, haphazard, and ineffective, resulting in uncollected potential tax revenue. The current system of filing of returns by licensed dealers is in effect a system of voluntary compliance. Persons may try to sell cigarettes and tobacco products without obtaining a license, or could have a license and not file a return or understate the income on the return.

The Legislature recognized that obtaining proof of large-scale black market cigarette sales is nearly impossible because the activity is surreptitious and the State's resources are limited. Nonetheless, the Legislature concluded, "judging from the anecdotal evidence existing and continuing over a fifteen-year period that a black market exists." With these concerns in mind, chapter 245 was amended by Act 249 to require that the tax on the sale or use of cigarettes under section 245-3, HRS, shall be paid by licensees through the use of stamps. Beginning on

² Of the 52 MSA Settling States, 17 were "non-contested" by the Participating Manufacturers (including Montana), leaving 35 states to arbitrate their dispute. Twenty (20) of the contested states then settled their dispute with the participating manufacturers, joined by two of the non-contested states (NJ and WY), leaving 15 contested states in the arbitration. On September 11, 2013, the Panel issued its final awards for the 15 remaining states, finding 9 states to have been diligent, and 6 states non-diligent.

January 1, 2001, a licensee or its authorized agent or designee is required to affix a stamp to the bottom of each individual package of cigarettes prior to distribution. Beginning on April 1, 2001, no individual package of cigarettes shall be sold or offered for sale to the public unless affixed with the stamp as required by chapter 245. Beginning in 2000, the Unit worked closely with licensed wholesalers and dealers and the Department of Taxation to facilitate the implementation of the cigarette stamp program. In January 2001, the Unit began conducting inspections for compliance with the program.

In 2005, the Attorney General proposed a bill to amend chapter 245 to require a permit for the retail sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products, and to require retailers to keep adequate records. These amendments became effective on December 1, 2006 (Act 131, Session Laws of Hawaii 2005). The Retail Tobacco Permit program addresses the problem of cigarettes and other tobacco products being imported to Hawaii by entities other than known wholesalers and distributors; for example, consumers and retail stores often order cigarettes by Internet, telephone, or mail. The record-keeping requirements of the Retail Tobacco Permit program help law enforcement officers determine the sources of cigarettes and other tobacco products sold at retail, and thus help prevent evasion of state taxes.

B. Cigarette Tax Revenues

Since the implementation of the tax stamp program on January 1, 2001, cigarette tax revenues have increased significantly. Cigarette tax revenues increased from \$40,049,539 in FY 1999-2000 to \$51,739,469 in FY 2000-2001 (an increase of \$11,689,930 or approximately 29 percent) even though the tax stamp program was in effect for only half of FY 2000-2001 and effective enforcement began at the retail level only three months before the end of FY 2000-2001. In FY 2012-2013, the Department of Taxation reported cigarette tax collections of \$120,095,909.

Cigarette Tax Revenues by Fiscal Year

FY 1999-2000	\$ 40,049,539
FY 2000-2001	\$ 51,739,469
FY 2001-2002	\$ 62,609,477
FY 2002-2003	\$ 70,586,392
FY 2003-2004	\$ 77,541,843
FY 2004-2005	\$ 83,135,360
FY 2005-2006	\$ 85,702,483
FY 2006-2007	\$ 88,771,666
FY 2007-2008	\$ 101,560,051
FY 2008-2009	\$ 104,433,576
FY 2009-2010	\$ 119,926,741
FY 2010-2011	\$ 135,647,918
FY 2011-2012	\$ 130,994,721
FY 2012-2013	\$ 120,095,909

C. Enforcement

The Unit conducts inspections, including unannounced inspections, of wholesalers and distributors, retail establishments, and cigarette vending machines for compliance with the Cigarette Tax and Tobacco Tax Law. Approximately 1,535 retailers hold Retail Tobacco Permits: 975 on Oahu, 224 on Hawaii, 210 on Maui, 96 on Kauai, 19 on Molokai, and 11 on Lanai. Inspections have been conducted on all of these islands.

- In FY 2012-2013, 817 compliance inspections were conducted statewide. One compliance inspection resulted in 2 individual defendants and a corporate defendant being charged on the island of Oahu and the seizure of 20 cartons of cigarettes (the equivalent of 4,000 cigarettes). The case was successfully prosecuted. Both individual defendants and the corporate defendant pled guilty to the charges and along with \$1,500 in fines the cigarettes were ordered forfeited to the State.
- Since April 1, 2001, inspections have resulted in more than 160 felony arrests numerous investigations and seizure of more than 2,641,473 illegal cigarettes. Felony arrests have been made on Hawaii, Kauai, Maui, Molokai, and Oahu.
- In FY 2012-2013, 21 criminal tobacco investigations were conducted and 289 cartons (the equivalent of 57,800 cigarettes) were seized, at the Honolulu International Airport, as a result of cooperative efforts between the Department and United States Customs and Border Protection.
- Since April 1, 2001, the Unit has prosecuted 137 tobacco cases, resulting in criminal fines of \$193,600.
- In FY 2012-2013, the Unit successfully prosecuted 7 criminal tax cases.
- In addition in FY 2012-2013, the Unit successfully prevailed in an appeal of a criminal tax case that was originally prosecuted in 2010. The State Intermediate Court of Appeals affirmed the conviction and sentence. The trial court sentenced the defendant to serve 11 months in prison and the defendant was also ordered to pay \$24,987 in restitution to the State.
- In total, 73 cigarette prosecutions have resulted in court-ordered forfeiture of cigarettes. In addition, the Department has secured 99 civil administrative forfeiture orders for, or stipulations or agreements to, the forfeiture of confiscated cigarettes.

D. Gray Market

Gray market cigarettes cut into the market share of participating manufacturers. Gray market cigarettes include cigarettes that have been imported illegally into the United States in violation of federal law, fail to meet federally mandated labeling requirements, or bear an unauthorized brand or trademark. Gray market cigarettes are manufactured overseas in such places as China, Colombia, the Philippines, Southeast Asia, and Switzerland, representing a multitude of brands and cigarette manufacturers. These cigarettes are often smuggled into Hawaii from Asia, the Philippines, Southeast Asia, and the South Pacific, and are often found in small grocery and liquor stores. Some mainland distributors attempt to mask or re-label "Tax Exempt" cigarettes to avoid prosecution for sale of gray market cigarettes.

To address the gray market problem, the Department has established relationships and has historically shared information with the taxing and regulatory authorities of Alaska, Arizona, California, Florida, Michigan, Oregon, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Canada. The Department has also historically coordinated with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives; Internal Revenue Service; United States Customs and Border Protection; United States Postal Service; and Royal Canadian Mounted Police with regard to gray market cigarettes and other cigarette-related issues.

The Department has worked with United States Customs and Border Protection in joint investigations of persons smuggling contraband cigarettes to Hawaii from foreign countries. This cooperative effort has resulted in more than thirty investigations of individuals attempting to bring undeclared or under-declared cigarettes to Hawaii in FY 2012-2013. Gray market cigarettes have been seized at airports in these joint interdiction efforts.

E. Counterfeit Tax Stamps

Counterfeit tax stamps cost as little as \$0.03 to \$0.50 per stamp and, therefore, create a significant price advantage over vendors who sell properly stamped cigarettes. The Department searches for counterfeit Hawaii tax stamps in order to prevent their circulation.

F. Half-Stamping

Some vendors attempt to evade the cigarette tax by placing a half-stamp on the bottom of a package of cigarettes, thus stamping two packs for the price of one. Investigations have resulted in arrests for selling half-stamped or partially stamped packs. In November 2001, the Unit made arrests for half-stamping and seized 12,879 packs, of which 2,639 packs were half-stamped and 10,240 packs were unstamped. The Unit continues to ensure that the application of tax stamps conforms with the stamping requirements set forth by rules adopted by the Department of Taxation³.

³ Title 18, Hawaii Administrative Rules, section 18-245-3 states that stamps or stamping indicia shall be securely affixed to the bottom of each cigarette package in such a manner that the stamps or stamping indicia are clearly visible, legible and complete.

G. Sales by Internet and Mail

Efforts continue in Hawaii and across the nation to address the problem of Internet sales of cigarettes. Significant federal regulation in the form of the Prevent All Cigarette Trafficking Act of 2009 (PACT ACT) was passed in March 2010.

IV. FY 2012-2013 DEPOSITS TO TOBACCO ENFORCEMENT SPECIAL FUND

Master Settlement Agreement funds	\$350,000
Cigarette tax stamp fees/interest/fines	<u>\$1,892,177</u>
Total	\$2,242,177

V. FY 2012-2013 APPROPRIATIONS AND EXPENDITURES

The Legislature appropriated \$1,217,721 for Master Settlement Agreement and cigarette tax stamp enforcement for FY 2012-2013. The appropriation was funded by \$350,000 from moneys received from the Master Settlement Agreement and \$867,721 from cigarette tax stamp fees.

Expenses for FY 2012-2013 totaled \$1,143,395. The appropriation balance of \$74,326 includes savings from budget restrictions and furloughs, which took place in FY 2012-2013, and personnel costs savings from unfilled positions. As a result, funds that might otherwise have been expended reverted to the Tobacco Enforcement Special Fund and State General Fund.

Report on the Tobacco Enforcement Special Fund

Fiscal Year 2012-2013

Page 11

APPROPRIATION		\$1,217,721.00
PERSONNEL COSTS		
Salaries and fringe	\$898,484.71	
OPERATIONAL EXPENSES AND OVERHEAD COSTS		
Lease rent	59,171.98	
Fibre Optic Data Connection	8,449.98	
Travel	6,658.93	
Phones	13,134.33	
Office Equipment	7,611.50	
Supplies	1,762.20	
Mileage	3,292.34	
Miscellaneous expenses	1,068.00	
Litigation expenses	189.70	
Administration expenses (estimated allocation)	<u>143,571.56⁴</u>	
TOTAL	<u>\$244,910.52</u>	
TOTAL COSTS		<u>\$1,143,395.23</u>
APPROPRIATION BALANCE		\$74,325.77

⁴ The aggregate total of \$143,571.56 reflects recordation of quarterly assessment payments in the FY 2012-2013 (\$131,501.28), Unemployment Benefits Payments in FY 2012-2013 (\$7,310.24), and other Special Expenditures (\$4,760.04).